

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED I	NVENTOR	A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/876,17 9	7' 06/13/97	LEE		В	P54596
_		MM11/0416	/0416 —	E	EXAMINER
ROBERT E E ATTORNEY-A			1	GUSHI,R	
SUITE 300				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1522 K STF WASHINGTON	REET, N.W. √ DC 20005-1:	202		2833	
				DATE MAILED:	04/16/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

08/876,179

Advisory Action

Applicant(s)

Lee

Examiner

Gushi, Ross

Group Art Unit 2833



THI		FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
		ires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	is la reje	oires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever ater. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final action.
	date on whi determining calculated fi	on of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The ich the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be rom the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appellant'	s Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on(or within any response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Δηι	nlicant's re	esponse to the final rejection, filed on <u>Apr 6, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, eemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The propo	sed amendment(s):
	☐ will be	e entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
		ot be entered because:
	🛚 the	ey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
	🛚 the	ey raise'the issue of new matter. (See note below).
	iss	ey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the ues for appeal.
	☐ the	ey present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:	Applicant's proposed amendments would require further searches and substantial consideration and review of the application. Applicant's proposed amendments may also introduce new matter into the application.
	Applic	cant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly pr separate,	oposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a , timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
	The affid	avit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition ance because:
		
	The affid	avit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by niner in the final rejection.
X	For purpo	oses of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
W., 30		llowed:
	Claims of	hierted to:
	Claims re	ejected: <u>1-8 and 10-18</u>
	The prop	osed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.
	Note the	attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
X	Other .	Honlly
		Hien Vu Primary Examiner